

EUROPEAN SPACEPORT REVIEW

2019



COMMERCIAL SPACE TECHNOLOGIES LTD

Service and Support for the Space Community

+44 020 8840 1082 | www.commercialspace.co.uk | cst@commercialspace.co.uk

EUROPEAN SPACEPORT REVIEW 2019

A REPORT IN CONFIDENCE TO
CST MEMBERS, ASSOCIATES
AND CUSTOMERS

May 2020

Commercial Space Technologies Ltd

67 Shakespeare Road, Hanwell, London W7 1LU

Tel: 020 8840 1082 Fax: 020 8840 7776 E-mail: cst@commercialspace.co.uk



CONTENTS

Section	Name	Page
	ABBREVIATIONS	vii
	INTRODUCTION	1
	Review Structure	3
1	Spaceport Overview	5
1.1	Basic Classification	9
1.2	Generic Safety Factors.....	12
1.2.1	Propellant Handling	13
1.3	Generic Environmental Factors	13
1.4	Generic Weather Factors	14
1.5	Generic Economic Factors	14
1.6	Air Traffic Integration	15
1.7	Space Law	15
1.8	Legislation	16
1.9	ITAR/MTCR	16
2	Operational Global Commercial Spaceports	20
2.1	The Pacific Spaceport Complex, Narrow Cape, Kodiak Island, South Alaska, USA	20
2.2	Mahia Launch Site / Launch Complex 1, North Island, New Zealand	24
2.3	Mojave Air and Space Port, Mojave, California, USA	31
3	SPACEPORT OPTIONS	36
3.1	Swedish Spaceport	36
3.1.1	Esrang Space Centre	36
3.1.1.1	Facilities	36
3.1.1.2	Services	42
3.1.1.3	Orbital Launch Plans	45
3.1.1.3.1	Esrang Feasibility Study for Orbital Launch	45
3.1.1.3.2	Current Situation with Orbital Launch	46
3.1.1.3.3	Launcher Option	51
3.1.2	Swedish Space Industry	52
3.1.3	Swedish Satellites	54
3.1.4	Transportation Access	55
3.1.4.1	Kiruna Airport	58
3.1.5	Overflight	60
3.1.6	National Legislation	61
3.1.7	Weather	61
3.1.8	Comments	61
3.2	Norwegian Andøya Spaceport	65
3.2.1	Historic developments	65
3.2.2	Present Plans	69
3.2.2.1	List of published documents	71
3.2.2.2	Motivation	72
3.2.2.3	Construction Plans	73



European Spaceport Review 2019

3.2.2.3.1	Phase 1 Construction	74
3.2.2.3.2	Phase 2 Construction	76
3.2.2.4	Outdoor activities	82
3.2.2.5	Environmental designations	84
3.2.2.5.1	Protected areas and habitats	85
3.2.2.5.2	Ecological functional areas for species	86
3.2.2.5.3	Landscape ecological functional areas	86
3.2.2.5.4	Conclusion and overall consistency	87
3.2.2.6	National Cultural Heritage	87
3.2.2.7	Natural Resources	89
3.2.2.7.1	Agriculture	89
3.2.2.7.2	Pasture and Hunting	89
3.2.2.7.3	Water Resources	91
3.2.2.7.4	Mineral Resources	91
3.2.2.7.6	Indecision	92
3.2.2.8	Fishery	92
3.2.2.9	Noise	92
3.2.2.10	Pollutions	94
3.2.2.11	Landscapes	95
3.2.2.12	Public Health	97
3.2.2.12.1	Geological survey	98
3.2.2.12.2	Risk and vulnerability	98
3.2.2.13	The Municipal Plan	99
3.2.2.14	Schedule	99
3.2.3	Launcher	100
3.2.3.1	North Star Launch Vehicle	100
3.2.4	Norwegian Space Industry	101
3.2.5	Norwegian Satellites	103
3.2.6	Location	105
3.2.7	Transportation Access	105
3.2.8	Weather	107
3.2.9	National Legislation	107
3.2.10	Comments	107
3.2.10.1	NSLV Challenges	107
3.2.10.2	Alternative Launcher Options	108
3.2.10.3	The Norwegian Space Industry	108
3.2.10.4	Norwegian Launch Site	109
3.2.10.5	Final Note on Norwegian Spaceport Prospects	109
3.3	Portuguese Spaceport in Azores	112
3.3.1	Historic development	112
3.3.1.1	Development Plan	114
3.3.2	Present Status	115
3.3.3	Government Involvement	116
3.3.4	Budgets	116
3.3.5	Structural Arrangement	117
3.3.6	Portuguese Space Industry	117
3.3.7	Portuguese Satellites	121



European Spaceport Review 2019

3.3.8	Location	121
3.3.9	Environmental Designations	123
3.3.10	Transportation Access	123
3.3.11	National Legislation	124
3.3.12	Weather	124
3.3.13	Comments	124
3.4	Swiss Spaceport	128
3.4.1	Historic developments	128
3.4.2	Swiss Space Industry	130
3.4.3	Swiss Satellites	131
3.4.4	Payerne Airport Location	132
3.4.5	Transportation Access	132
3.4.6	Weather	132
3.4.7	Comments	132
3.5	Italian Spaceport	135
3.5.1	Historical development	135
3.5.1.1	Virgin Galactic	136
3.5.1.2	Virgin Orbit	137
3.5.2	Italian Space Industry	137
3.5.3	Italian Satellites	142
3.5.4	Location	146
3.5.4.1	Environmental Designations	147
3.5.4.2	Transportation Access	148
3.5.5	Weather	149
3.5.6	Comments	149
3.6	Spanish Spaceport	152
3.6.1	Indigenous Launchers Overview	152
3.6.1.1	PLD Space	152
3.6.1.2	Zero2Infinity	154
3.6.1.3	Celestia Aerospace	154
3.6.2	Spaceport Location Options	155
3.6.2.1	Zaragoza	155
3.6.2.1.1	Runway Length	156
3.6.2.1.2	Noise	156
3.6.2.1.3	Flight Corridor	157
3.6.2.2	The Alternative Options	157
3.6.2.2.1	Environmental Designations	159
3.6.3	Spanish Space Industry	159
3.6.4	Spanish Satellites	161
3.6.5	Weather	164
3.6.6	Comments	164
3.7	The Netherlands Spaceport	168
3.7.1	The Netherlands Space Industry	168
3.7.2	The Netherlands Satellites	169
3.7.3	Location	171
3.7.4	Comments	171
3.8	Polish Spaceport	173



European Spaceport Review 2019

3.8.1	Polish Sounding Rocket	173
3.8.2	Polish Space Industry	173
3.8.3	Polish Satellites	175
3.8.4	Comments	176
4	COMPARISON	179
4.1	Potential Launch Operator Financial and Technical Viability for Spaceports ...	184
5	CONCLUSIONS	187
5.1	CST’s Take Home	190
5.2	Recommendations	192
	REFERENCED CST REPORTS	194
	NON-CST REFERENCES	195
	APPENDIX A	219
A.1	VLM launcher	220
A.2	North Star - the Nano Satellite Launcher	221
A.3	PLD Space	222
A.4	Celestia Aerospace	223
A.5	Zero2Infinity	224
A.6	Virgin Orbit LauncherOne	226
A.7	Virgin Galactic SpaceShip Two Spaceplane	228
A.8	Rocketplane XP	230
A.9	Skyrora XL	231
A.10	Orbex	232
A.11	ABL Space Systems	234
A.12	Astra	235
A.13	Rocket Lab	238
A.14	Super Small Launcher Comparison	239
	APPENDIX B	244
B.1	Sweden – Kiruna Weather	245
B.2	Norway – Andenes Weather	253
B.3	Portugal – Santa Maria, Azores Weather	260
B.4	Switzerland – Payerne Weather	266
B.5	Italy – Taranto Weather	273
B.6	Spain – Zaragoza weather	278
	APPENDIX C	285
	APPENDIX D	286

